

T Level Technical Qualification in Education and Childcare

Employer-set project (ESP)

Assisting Teaching

Mark scheme

Paper Number: P001351 Version 1.1 November 2021 (603/5829/4)



Marking instructions

Levels of performance marking grids have been designed to award a student's response holistically, drawing on the evidence the student produces in the tasks, and should follow a best-fit approach.

Marking will take place once all tasks are complete and the marker has access to all the student's evidence for each of the tasks.

Table 1 shows which of the tasks (pieces of evidence) will be used as the basis of judgement for each of the assessment objectives (AOs).

Markers should review each of these pieces of evidence, using the indicative content to support an understanding of what they are expecting to make their judgement on, before placing the student in one of the bands.

The grids are broken down into bands, with each band having an associated descriptor indicating the performance at that band. You should determine the band before determining the mark.

When determining a band, you should use a best-fit approach. A judgement should be made on the overall quality of the student's evidence, and should reward students positively, rather than focussing on small omissions. If the response covers aspects at different bands, you should use a best-fit approach at this stage and use the available marks within the band to credit the response appropriately.

When determining a mark within the band, your decision should be based on the quality of the response in relation to the descriptors. You must also consider the relative weightings of the AOs, so as not to over/under credit a response. Standardisation materials, marked by the Chief Examiner, will help you with determining a mark. You will be able to use exemplar student responses to compare to live responses, to decide if it is the same, better or worse. As a general rule of thumb, allocation of the highest mark within a 3 mark band should be evidence that may meet the criteria 'convincingly'. For 2 marks out of a total of 3, evidence may meet the criteria 'adequately' and for the lowest mark, the evidence may 'just' be meeting the criteria. This is guidance and any approach will be confirmed in standardisation.

You are reminded that the indicative content provided under the marking grid is there as a guide, not an exhaustive list. It is not a requirement that students cover all of the indicative content to be awarded higher band marks.

Evidence	A01	AO2	AO3	AO4	AO5	TOTAL
Task 1						
Task 1(a) (intervention plan)	4	8	4			16
Task 1(b) (activity plan v1)	4	15	6			25
English, mathematics and digital skills				10		10
	1	Task 2				
Task 2(b) (activity plan v2)* *Task 2(a) is not marked		6			3	9
Task 3						
Task 3(b) (discussion with tutor)	1	12	2		1	16
English, mathematics, and digital skills				2		2
Task 4						
Task 4 (reflection)		7			5	12
Total marks:	9	48	12	12	9	90
Percentage (%)	10	53.33	13.33	13.33	10	100

Mark bands	Band 1	Band 2	Band 3	Band 4	Band 5	AO4 (English/ mathemati cs digital)
Task 1(a) (intervention plan)	1–4	5–8	9–12	13–16		
	marks	marks	marks	marks		10 marks
Task 1(b) (activity plan v1)	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	10 Illaiks
	marks	marks	marks	marks	marks	
Task 2(b) (activity plan v2)	1–3	4–6	7–9			
*Task 2a is not marked	marks	marks	marks			
Task 3(b) (discussion with	1–4	5–8	9–12	13–16		2 marks
tutor)	marks	marks	marks	marks		ZIIIdIKS
Task 4	1–3	4–6	7–9	10–12		
(reflection)	marks	marks	marks	marks		

Task 1a (intervention plan)

Create an intervention plan that you would use to meet Chloe's development needs for her spelling and punctuation.

The intervention plan should include:

- strategies to support Chloe's spelling and punctuation
- identification of suitable resources and/or techniques
- appropriate communication strategies to use with Chloe (context and stage appropriate language)
- ways to work with parents, practitioners and specialists to enhance learning opportunities and meet Chloe's support and development needs
- methods of tracking and monitoring Chloe's progress towards her 6 week objective
- use of educational theories, concepts or pedagogies.

	The intervention plan:
13–16 marks	 is clearly presented and coherently written, includes relevant technical terminology is highly detailed and takes full account of all available information. Including reference to all strategies, resources and techniques to be used and takes full account of all available information, referencing relevant educational theories, concepts or pedagogies comprehensively covers all requirements of an intervention plan fully addresses all Chloe's development/support needs.
	The intervention plan:
9–12 marks	 is clearly presented and includes some technical terminology is detailed including reference to some strategies, resources and techniques to be used and takes account of most of the information available, referencing some educational theories, concepts or pedagogies covers the majority of the requirements of an intervention plan addresses most of Chloe's development/support needs.
	The intervention plan:
5–8 marks	 some clarity within presentation and includes limited technical terminology is limited in detail with limited reference to strategies, resources and techniques to be used and takes account of some of the information available with limited reference to educational theories, concepts or pedagogies covers some of the requirements of an intervention plan addresses some of Chloe's development/support needs.
	The intervention plan:
1–4 marks	 lacks clarity within presentation and includes little/no technical terminology includes very little detail, little/no reference to strategies, resources and techniques to be used and takes little/no account of available information with little/no reference to educational theories, concepts or pedagogies covers few of the requirements of an intervention plan does not appropriately address Chloe's development/support needs.
0 marks	No creditable evidence.

Task 1b (activity plan v1)

Plan an activity that you could use to support Chloe.

The activity plan should include:

- how the activity links to and supports the wider curriculum and Chloe's development
- teaching and learning strategies and/or theory, concepts and pedagogy that underpin the activity
- the instructions to be given to Chloe to ensure understanding and engagement in the activity (ensuring communication is age/stage appropriate)
- ways to work with parents, practitioners and specialists to prepare for the activity
- how observation will be used to track Chloe's progress through the activity
- opportunities for formative and summative assessment to assess Chloe's needs
- identification of hazards, risks and control measures to ensure own and others' safety throughout the activity.

The activity plan demonstrates: well-considered, coherent activity planning, linking to the wider curriculum rationale for activity selection is highly justified (taking account of information contained within the intervention plan and the brief) with well-considered links to teaching and learning strategies that underpin the activity 21 - 25high level of relevant detail shown including instructions to be given to Chloe and marks explanation of how observation will be used justified selection of resources and/or techniques well-considered assessment strategies including explanation of formative and summative assessments detailed risk analysis with reference to all hazards, risks and control measures. The activity plan demonstrates: coherent activity planning, linking to the wider curriculum well-considered rationale for activity choice (taking account of information contained within the intervention plan and the brief) with reference to relevant teaching and learning strategies that could underpin the activity 16-20 good level of detail shown including some reference to instructions to be given to Chloe marks and some reference to observation reasoned selection of resources and/or techniques considered assessment strategies with reference to formative and/or summative assessments detailed risk assessment with reference to some hazards and risks and some control measures. The activity plan demonstrates: appropriate activity planning, some links to the wider curriculum some consideration given to activity choice (taking account of information contained within the intervention plan and the brief) reference to teaching and learning strategies 11–15 may not be linked to activity marks appropriate level of relevant detail shown with some consideration of instructions which may be given to Chloe and some consideration of observation justified selection of resources and/or techniques appropriate assessment strategies including the need to assess Chloe appropriate risk assessment including relevant hazards, risks and control measures.

	The activity plan demonstrates:
6–10 marks	 limited activity planning, few links to the wider curriculum limited consideration of activity choice (taking account of information contained within the early support intervention plan and the brief) with little reference to teaching and learning strategies minimal level of relevant detail shown and little reference to instructions which may be given to Chloe or observation which may be used limited justification for selection of resources and/or techniques inadequate assessment strategies with little or no reference to how to assess Chloe minimal risk assessment, with some relevant hazards, risks and controls included.
1–5 marks	 The activity plan demonstrates: weak activity planning, no links to the wider curriculum no valid consideration of activity choice (taking account of information contained within the early support intervention plan and the brief) with no reference to teaching and learning strategies very little relevant detail shown with no reference to instructions which may be given to Chloe or observation which may be used inadequate/no justification for selection of resources and/or techniques no relevant assessment strategies no/little evidence of risk assessment.
0 marks	No creditable evidence.

	Task 1(a) and 1(b)
	AO4: English, mathematics and digital skills
English (4 marks)	4 marks: Plans include excellent use of Level 2 English throughout and convey meaning clearly, concisely and coherently, using formal and informal tone as appropriate to the context of an early years/children's institution/setting. Use of terminology is excellent with no errors.
	3 marks: Plans include a well-developed use of Level 2 English through most of the documents and convey meaning clearly and coherently, using formal and informal tone as appropriate to the context of the early years/children's institution/setting. There is a good use of technical terminology with minimal errors.
	2 marks : Plans include inconsistent use of Level 2 English throughout the documents, ie may lack conciseness although overall, they convey meaning coherently . Use of formal and informal tone is mostly appropriate to the context of the early years/children's institution/setting. Use of technical terminology is sound but contains some errors.
	1 mark: Plans include simplistic use of English at Level 1 or below throughout the documents. There may be some errors which do not affect meaning or coherence. Use of formal and informal tone is sometimes incongruent with the context of the early years/children's institution/setting. The use of technical terminology is minimal and includes some errors.
Mathematics	2 marks : Plans demonstrate that the student has accurately processed/analysed the assessment data presented in the brief in a highly effective way.
(2 marks)	1 mark : Plans demonstrate that the student has processed/analysed the assessment data presented in the brief effectively .
Digital skills (4 marks)	4 marks: Plans produced demonstrate effective and efficient use of digital technology and media to present information and assessment evidence clearly and concisely so it can be accessed by the intended audience in the context of the early years/children's institution/setting.
	3 marks : Plans produced demonstrate an overall effective use of digital technology and media, presenting the information and assessment evidence clearly so it can be accessed by the intended audience in the context of the early years/children's institution/setting.
	2 marks: Plans produced demonstrate a mostly effective use of digital technology and media, sometimes presenting the information and assessment evidence clearly so it can be accessed by the intended audience in the context of the early years/children's institution/setting. It is clear to the audience that the use of digital skills could be strengthened to enhance accessibility and presentation.
	1 mark: Plans produced demonstrate use of digital technology and media that is sometimes effective but causes the intended audience in the context of the early years/children's institution/setting to have some difficulty in accessing the information and assessment evidence presented. It is clear to the audience that the use of digital skills is a weakness and should be strengthened to enhance accessibility and presentation.

Task 2(b) (activity plan v2)

Updates to activity plan with justifications following peer discussion.

The evidence should demonstrate:

- skills of reflection and evaluation to review the objective of the activity plan
- evidence and justification of amendments made to activity plan following peer discussion and feedback

*Tasks 2(a)(i) and 2(a)(ii) (Reflect on and evaluate the plans of other students, providing feedback through peer group discussion) are **not** marked. Marking must be solely based on the student's updated activity plan with justifications following peer discussion.

7–9 marks The student demonstrates:	
 highly evaluative approach with a clear focus on the objective of the activity high level of skills of reflection shown through updated activity plan and corresponding justifications 	pian
 comprehensive justification for each suggested amendment to the activity pl evidence of well-reasoned/justified amendments to plan following peer discu 	` '
4–6 marks The student demonstrates:	
 an evaluative approach with a clear focus on the objective of the activity plan effective skills of reflection shown through updated activity plan and corresponding justifications 	1
 relevant justification for each suggested amendment to the activity plan(s) evidence of well-considered amendments to plan following peer discussion. 	
1–3 marks The student demonstrates:	
 limited skills of evaluation with some focus on the objective of the activity plans some skills of reflection shown through updated activity plan and correspond justifications 	
 few relevant suggestions for amendments to activity plan(s) 	
evidence of some appropriate amendments to plan following peer discussion	۱.
0 marks No creditable evidence	

Task 3(b) (discussion with tutor)

Discuss intervention plan and activity plan with tutor (marked evidence to include word processed proforma/slides if used, tutor notes, and audio recording of discussion)

Preparation to include:

- summary of key points within intervention plan and activity plan, including detail of review undertaken
- how the plans are informed by educational theories, concepts or pedagogies
- communication techniques required to support Chloe's progress
- strategies to support the relevant areas of Chloe's development
- consideration of suitability of resources.

	Evidence presented demonstrates:
13–16 marks	 high level of preparation evident in relation to the task highly confident contribution to the discussion comprehensive summary of the key points within the intervention plan and activity plan, including thorough detail of review undertaken; summary includes detailed explanation of all educational theories, concepts or pedagogies and strategies to support the relevant areas of Chloe's development clearly articulated justification of selected resources and techniques within intervention plan and activity plan well-considered and well-reasoned responses to tutor's questions.
	Evidence presented demonstrates:
9–12 marks	 sufficient level of preparation evident in relation to the task confident contribution to the discussion appropriate summary of the key points within the intervention plan and activity plan, including sufficient detail of review undertaken; summary includes reference to educational theories, concepts or pedagogies and strategies to support the relevant areas of Chloe's development some justification of selected resources and techniques within intervention plan and activity plan considered and reasoned responses to tutor's questions.
5–8 marks	 some preparation evident in relation to the task adequate contribution to the discussion limited summary of the key points within the intervention plan and activity plan, with some detail of review undertaken; summary includes limited reference to educational theories, concepts or pedagogies and strategies to support the relevant areas of Chloe's development some understanding shown for choice of resources and techniques within intervention plan and activity plan considered responses to some of the tutor's questions.
	Evidence presented demonstrates:
1–4 marks	 very little preparation evident in relation to the task limited contribution to the discussion

	 vague/no summary of the key points within the intervention plan and activity plan, with very little detail of review undertaken; no reference to educational theories, concepts or pedagogies and strategies to support the relevant areas of Chloe's development limited understanding of choice of resources and techniques within intervention plan and activity plan limited responses to tutor's questions.
0 marks	No creditable evidence

	Task 3
	AO4: English, mathematics and digital skills
Digital skills (2 marks)	 2 marks: Summary and key points of plans produced in task 3a demonstrate overall an effective and efficient use of digital technology and media to present information clearly and concisely so it can be accessed for the discussion in Task 3(b). 1 mark: Summary and key points of plans produced in task 3(a) demonstrate a mostly effective use of digital technology and media to present information clearly so it can be accessed for the discussion in task 3(b).
	0 marks: Summary and key points of plans produced in task 3(a) did not demonstrate the effective use of digital technology and media, either by being handwritten, or resulting in significant difficulties in accessing the information required for the discussion.

Task 4 (reflection)

Completed reflective account to include:

- effectiveness of own communication skills and quality of own contribution within peer discussion and tutor discussion
- quality of planned activity/intervention plan to support intended outcomes
- extent to which feedback informed changes to own planned activity
- identified improvements to own knowledge, planning skills and collaborative working for future practice.

	Reflective account demonstrates:
10–12 marks	reference to all 4 points above includes clear, well-reasoned reflection with comprehensive evaluation and justified actions.
	Reflective account demonstrates:
7–9 marks	reference to all 4 points above includes reasoned reflection with appropriate evaluation and some justified actions.
	Reflective account demonstrates:
4–6 marks	reference to relevant points above includes appropriate reflection with limited evaluation and limited justified actions.
	Reflective account demonstrates:
1–3 marks	reference to some points above includes limited relevant reflection and no evidence of evaluation or justified actions.
0 marks	No creditable evidence

Document information

Copyright in this document belongs to, and is used under licence from, the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education, © 2021.

'T-LEVELS' is a registered trade mark of the Department for Education.

'T Level' is a registered trade mark of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education. 'Institute for Apprenticeships & Technical Education' and logo are registered trade marks of the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education.

Owner: Head of Assessment Design.